

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,989	09/17/2003	Johannes Ante	449122062600	1966	
25227 7	590 05/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			MILLER, T.	MILLER, TAKISHA S	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		2855		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	እ
V	U

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/663,989	ANTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Takisha Miller	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A' SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 March 2005</u> .					
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED Final ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chamings et al. (6,640,648)(hereinafter Chamings) in view of Specht et al. (US2004/0040393)(hereinafter Specht).
 - a. With respect to claim 1, Chamings teaches a belt force measuring device (20), comprising: a measuring spring (250/266/254/280), a detector (252) which is arranged on the measuring spring (250) to a first bearing (254) of the measuring spring (250); and a sensor element (230) which is arranged on the measuring spring (250) in fixed relation to a second bearing (280) of the measuring spring (250), the measuring spring (250/266) being arranged and formed such that it expands between the first (254) and second (280) bearing as a function of the belt force (Figs.1,3). Chamings fails to teach the sensor element directly attached to the measuring spring. Specht teaches a sensor element (31) that is arranged directly attached to a measuring spring (26)(Fig.4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chamings

to include the sensor element directly attached to the measuring spring as taught by Specht in order to accurately measure spring deformation (see Specht; ¶ 0017; lines 11-28).

- b. With respect to claim 2, Chamings teaches a belt force measuring device (20), wherein the measuring spring (250/266) is arranged such that expansion as a function of the belt force is limited by a play (50) of a locking tab (52) mounted with the play (50) in a housing of the belt force measuring device (20)(Fig.1).
- c. With respect to claim 3, Chamings teaches a belt force measuring device (20), wherein the measuring spring (250/266) is mounted flexibly in the first (254) and second (280) bearing (Fig.3).
- d. With respect to claims 4 and 5, Chamings teaches a belt force measuring device (20), wherein the detector (252) and sensing element (230) are located on the measuring spring (250/254/280) so that they cannot rotate (Col. 1, lines 29-33).
- e. With respect to claim 6, Chamings teaches a belt force measuring device (20), wherein the measuring spring (250/266) is formed from steel (Col. 2, lines 1-2).

Conclusion -

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2855

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Takisha Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 am - 3:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Am

HARSHAD PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER